

## Finding Relationships Among Variables

BUS 735: Business Decision Making and Research

- Specific goals:
  - Detect how outcome variables can be explained by multiple explanatory variables.
- Learning objectives:
  - LO2: Construct and use advanced multivariate models to identify complex relationships among multiple variables; including regression models, limited dependent variable models, and analysis of variance and covariance models.

- Specific goals:
  - Detect how outcome variables can be explained by multiple explanatory variables.
- Learning objectives:
  - LO2: Construct and use advanced multivariate models to identify complex relationships among multiple variables; including regression models, limited dependent variable models, and analysis of variance and covariance models.

# Multiple Regression

2 / 10

Multiple regression line (**population**):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon_i$$

Multiple regression line (**sample**):

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1,i} + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k + e_i$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables

# Multiple Regression

2 / 10

Multiple regression line (**population**):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon_i$$

Multiple regression line (**sample**):

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1,i} + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k + e_i$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables

# Multiple Regression

2 / 10

Multiple regression line (**population**):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon_i$$

Multiple regression line (**sample**):

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1,i} + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k + e_i$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables

# Multiple Regression

2 / 10

Multiple regression line (**population**):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon_i$$

Multiple regression line (**sample**):

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1,i} + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k + e_i$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables

# Multiple Regression

2 / 10

Multiple regression line (**population**):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon_i$$

Multiple regression line (**sample**):

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1,i} + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k + e_i$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables



# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

3 / 10

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Interpreting Coefficients

3 / 10

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.



# Interpreting Coefficients

- Interpreting the slope,  $\beta$ : amount the  $y$  is predicted to increase when increasing  $x$  by one unit.
- When  $\beta < 0$  there is a negative linear relationship.
- When  $\beta > 0$  there is a positive linear relationship.
- When  $\beta = 0$  there is no linear relationship between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- Statistical packages report sample estimates for coefficients, along with...
  - Standard errors of the coefficients
  - T-test statistics for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
  - P-values of the T-tests.
  - Confidence intervals for the coefficients.

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Explained (SSE)**: measure of the amount of variability in the dependent (Y) variable that is explained by the independent variables (X's).

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- **Sum of Squares Residual (SSR)**: measure of the unexplained variability in the dependent variable.

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Explained (SSE)**: measure of the amount of variability in the dependent (Y) variable that is explained by the independent variables (X's).

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- **Sum of Squares Residual (SSR)**: measure of the unexplained variability in the dependent variable.

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Explained (SSE)**: measure of the amount of variability in the dependent (Y) variable that is explained by the independent variables (X's).

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- **Sum of Squares Residual (SSR)**: measure of the unexplained variability in the dependent variable.

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Explained (SSE):** measure of the amount of variability in the dependent (Y) variable that is explained by the independent variables (X's).

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- **Sum of Squares Residual (SSR):** measure of the unexplained variability in the dependent variable.

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Total (SST):** measure of the total variability in the dependent variable.

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- $SST = SSR + SSE.$

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Total (SST):** measure of the total variability in the dependent variable.

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- SST = SSR + SSE.

# Sum of Squares Measures of Variation

- **Sum of Squares Total (SST)**: measure of the total variability in the dependent variable.

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- $SST = SSR + SSE$ .



# Coefficient of determination

- The **coefficient of determination** is the percentage of variability in  $y$  that is explained by  $x$ .

$$R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

- $R^2$  will always be between 0 and 1. The closer  $R^2$  is to 1, the better  $x$  is able to explain  $y$ .
- The more variables you add to the regression, the higher  $R^2$  will be.

# Coefficient of determination

- The **coefficient of determination** is the percentage of variability in  $y$  that is explained by  $x$ .

$$R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

- $R^2$  will always be between 0 and 1. The closer  $R^2$  is to 1, the better  $x$  is able to explain  $y$ .
- The more variables you add to the regression, the higher  $R^2$  will be.

# Coefficient of determination

- The **coefficient of determination** is the percentage of variability in  $y$  that is explained by  $x$ .

$$R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

- $R^2$  will always be between 0 and 1. The closer  $R^2$  is to 1, the better  $x$  is able to explain  $y$ .
- The more variables you add to the regression, the higher  $R^2$  will be.

# Coefficient of determination

- The **coefficient of determination** is the percentage of variability in  $y$  that is explained by  $x$ .

$$R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

- $R^2$  will always be between 0 and 1. The closer  $R^2$  is to 1, the better  $x$  is able to explain  $y$ .
- The more variables you add to the regression, the higher  $R^2$  will be.

# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.



# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# Adjusted $R^2$

7 / 10

## Problem: Adding variables not always good

- $R^2$  will likely increase (slightly) even by adding nonsense variables.
- Adding such variables increases in-sample fit by chance
- Adding nonsense hurts out-of-sample forecasting accuracy

## Adjusted $R^2$

- Adjusted  $R^2$  penalizes  $R^2$  for additional variables.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  increases →  
Additional variable helps explain outcome variable.
- When adjusted  $R^2$  decreases →  
Additional variable *does not* help explain outcome variable.

# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1$  : At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one  $\beta_j \neq 0$ ).

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n-k-1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*

# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1 : \text{At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one } \beta_j \neq 0 \text{)}$ .

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n-k-1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*

# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1 : \text{At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one } \beta_j \neq 0 \text{)}$ .

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n - k - 1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*

# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1 : \text{At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one } \beta_j \neq 0 \text{)}$ .

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n - k - 1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*



# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1 : \text{At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one } \beta_j \neq 0 \text{)}$ .

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n - k - 1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*

# F-test for Regression Fit

8 / 10

Test if the regression line explains the data

## Hypotheses

- $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ .
- $H_1 : \text{At least one of the variables explains outcome (i.e. at least one } \beta_j \neq 0 \text{)}$ .

## Test Statistic

$$F = \frac{SSE/k}{SSR/(n - k - 1)}$$

- $k$ : number of explanatory variables
- Ratio of *explained variation* relative to *unexplained variation*

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.



# Assumptions from the CLT

9 / 10

## Large Sample Size

- Useful for normality result from the Central Limit Theorem
- Also necessary as you increase the number of explanatory variables.

## Normally Distributed Variables

Useful for small sample sizes, but not essential as sample size increases.

## Scale of Measurement

- Dependent variable must be interval or ratio.
- Independent variable can be interval, ratio, or a *dummy variable*.

# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream





# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream



# Regression-Specific Assumptions

10/ 10

## Linearity

- Straight line describes the relationship
- Exceptions: experience/productivity

## Stationarity

- The mean and variance must exist and converge
- Big issue in economic and financial time series

## Exogeneity

- Dependent variable must not influence explanatory variables
- Omitted variables must not influence both outcome and explanatory variables
- Examples: Advertising/Sales, Violent Crime/Ice Cream

