Economic Growth

ECO 305: Intermediate Macroeconomics



- Goals:
 - Understand some economic growth facts from around the world and across time.
 - Learn two models to understand why some countries have fast rates of growth, and some countries do not.
- Reading: Williamson, Chapter 7: 232-264.

- Before the industrial revolution in about 1800, standards of living did not grow much over time.
- Since the industrial revolution, per-capita income growth has grown steadily in the richest countries
 - The average growth rate of per-capita income in the U.S. in the past century is about 2%.

- Before the industrial revolution in about 1800, standards of living did not grow much over time.
- Since the industrial revolution, per-capita income growth has grown steadily in the richest countries
 - The average growth rate of per-capita income in the U.S. in the past century is about 2%.

- Before the industrial revolution in about 1800, standards of living did not grow much over time.
- Since the industrial revolution, per-capita income growth has grown steadily in the richest countries
 - The average growth rate of per-capita income in the U.S. in the past century is about 2%.

- Before the industrial revolution, standards of living were similar across much of the world.
- Differences in per-capita income across countries have grown significantly since the industrial revolution.
- Rich countries today are alike in terms of per-capita income growth, most around 2%.
- Lesser-developed countries today are less alike in terms of per-capita income growth.
 - China 24.4% in 2010
 - India 8.8% in 2010
 - Korea 6% in 2010
 - Russia 4.2% in 2010
 - Nicaragua 1.9% in 2010
 - Pakistan -0.2% in 2010



- Before the industrial revolution, standards of living were similar across much of the world.
- Differences in per-capita income across countries have grown significantly since the industrial revolution.
- Rich countries today are alike in terms of per-capita income growth, most around 2%.
- Lesser-developed countries today are less alike in terms of per-capita income growth.
 - China 24.4% in 2010
 - India 8.8% in 2010
 - Korea 6% in 2010
 - Russia 4.2% in 2010
 - Nicaragua 1.9% in 2010
 - Pakistan -0.2% in 2010



- Before the industrial revolution, standards of living were similar across much of the world.
- Differences in per-capita income across countries have grown significantly since the industrial revolution.
- Rich countries today are alike in terms of per-capita income growth, most around 2%.
- Lesser-developed countries today are less alike in terms of per-capita income growth.
 - China 24.4% in 2010
 - India 8.8% in 2010
 - Korea 6% in 2010
 - Russia 4.2% in 2010
 - Nicaragua 1.9% in 2010
 - Pakistan -0.2% in 2010



- Before the industrial revolution, standards of living were similar across much of the world.
- Differences in per-capita income across countries have grown significantly since the industrial revolution.
- Rich countries today are alike in terms of per-capita income growth, most around 2%.
- Lesser-developed countries today are less alike in terms of per-capita income growth.
 - China 24.4% in 2010
 - India 8.8% in 2010
 - Korea 6% in 2010
 - Russia 4.2% in 2010
 - Nicaragua 1.9% in 2010
 - Pakistan -0.2% in 2010



- Before the industrial revolution, standards of living were similar across much of the world.
- Differences in per-capita income across countries have grown significantly since the industrial revolution.
- Rich countries today are alike in terms of per-capita income growth, most around 2%.
- Lesser-developed countries today are less alike in terms of per-capita income growth.
 - China 24.4% in 2010
 - India 8.8% in 2010
 - Korea 6% in 2010
 - Russia 4.2% in 2010
 - Nicaragua 1.9% in 2010
 - Pakistan -0.2% in 2010



- There is a negative relationship between population growth rates and per-capita income growth rates.
- There is a positive relationship between investment rate (as a percentage of real GDP) and per-capita income for lesser developed countries.
- There is a negative relationship between investment rate and per-capita income for more developed countries.

Malthusian Growth Model

- Thomas Malthus (1798), An Essay on the Principle of Population
- On causes for population growth.
- Population growth theory explained economic growth.



- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously

- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously



- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously



Mathematical Foundations

- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously

- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously



Mathematical Foundations

- Malthus did not construct a formal mathematical model. But we're better than that.
- Production is produced with labor and land. No capital it's the 18th century.

$$Y = zF(L, N)$$

- Y: Real GDP; L: Land; N: Population = Labor; z: TFP
- Land is fixed.
- Population grows endogenously



$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita.
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita.

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita.
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita.

$$N' = N + Births - Deaths$$

- N denotes present population
- N' denotes future population (prime denotes future variable).
- Much of the population lives near a sustenance level.
 - Birth rate depends positively on consumption per capita.
 - Death rate depends negatively on consumption per capita.

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of ${\it C/N}$

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

• Substitute (2) into (1):

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

8/15

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of ${\it C/N}$

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of C/N

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of C/N

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of C/N

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of C/N

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right) \tag{1}$$

g() is an increasing function of C/N

• Aggregate resource constraint, C = Y, implies,

$$C = zF(L, N) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!

Solving the Model

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!

$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!



$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!



$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!



$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!



$$\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{zf(L,N)}{N}\right) \tag{4}$$

- Assume constant returns to scale (CRS): If you increase all factors of production by the same percentage, output increases by the same percentage.
- This implies that...

$$N' = g\left[zf(L/N, 1)\right]N\tag{5}$$

- Assume diminishing marginal product of labor.
- Graph that!



- There is a steady state level of the population.
- Population growth rate at the steady state is equal to...
- Recall the production function, $Y = zF(L, N) \rightarrow C = zF(L, N)$
- Using CRS yields a function showing consumption per person positively affected by technology and land per person.

$$\frac{C}{N} = zF(L/N, 1) \tag{6}$$

- Use the function $\frac{N'}{N} = g\left(\frac{C}{N}\right)$ to find steady state consumption per person.
 - It'll be sad :(



- Suppose there is an improvement in technology for plowing fields with shovels.
- Describe and illustrate the change in steady state population, consumption per capita, land per person (page 233).
- Describe and illustrate the dynamics in consumption per capita and population as the economy moves from the first steady state to the new steady state.

- Explains well why before the industrial revolution (importance of capital in production), per-capita income did not vary over time.
- Explains well why before the industrial revolution per-capita income did not vary much across countries.
- Possibly still relevant for the poorest countries on earth.

- Lowest Real GDP per capita (2014) = \$484.40
- Life expectancy: 55 years (USA is 79)
- 85% population rural
- Agriculture more than 1/3 of real GDP.
- C02 per capita (2010):0.1 metric tons(U.S. was 17.6 metric tons)



- The world starting changing right after Malthus:
- Does not allow for endogenous choices for population growth rates.
- Does not consider impact of capital accumulation.
- Population theory relevant only at the sustenance level.

Solow Growth Model

15/15

More to come...